

Fleming, Sheila

From: Kuntz, Michael G. (ECY) <mkun461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 7:37 AM
To: Bailey, Marcia
Subject: RE: question

Hi Marcia

Since the thermal remediation we have not encountered groundwater in the wells that previous tapped uppermost groundwater.

Mike

From: Bailey, Marcia [mailto:bailey.marcia@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 24, 2014 7:19 AM
To: Kuntz, Michael G. (ECY)
Subject: question

Hi Mike,

In my letter to you, I included the following:

My concern expressed in paragraph above stems also in part from language in the Feasibility Study (section 6.2): "Any residual PCE in groundwater is anticipated to naturally attenuate after completion of active ERH." Residual PCE in soil could potentially contribute to existing residual PCE in groundwater. The same section also states: "Perched groundwater in the treatment zone will be rapidly evaporated or boiled off with any associated dissolved PCE and captured along with other vapor extracted by the system." Was this verifiably accomplished by the ERH system that was employed?

Do you know if this was in fact done?

Thanks, and I'll be back to you on the issue of Piper's response later today.

Marcia